



卞传飞, 宁旭, 崔宗胤, 等. 氟唑菌酰胺对水稻纹枯病的室内毒力测定与田间防效[J]. 江西农业大学学报, 2021, 43(5): 1015–1021.

BIAN C F, NING X, CUI Z Y, et al. Toxicity and field control efficacy of pydiflumetofen against rice sheath blight[J]. Acta agriculturae universitatis Jiangxiensis, 2021, 43(5): 1015–1021.

# 氟唑菌酰胺对水稻纹枯病的 室内毒力测定与田间防效

卞传飞<sup>1</sup>, 宁旭<sup>1</sup>, 崔宗胤<sup>1</sup>, 陈嗣龙<sup>2</sup>, 刘志华<sup>2</sup>, 李保同<sup>1\*</sup>

(1. 江西农业大学 国土资源与环境学院, 江西 南昌 330045; 2. 江西农业大学 农学院, 江西 南昌 330045)

**摘要:**【目的】近年来, 水稻纹枯病菌 *Rhizoctonia solani* Kühn 成为中国水稻产区危害最重的真菌病害之一, 不仅给水稻产量造成了严重的损失, 也降低了水稻的品质。为提高防治水稻纹枯病的效果, 降低水稻纹枯病对常用药剂的抗药性, 开发与使用新型药剂成为当前的热门话题。氟唑菌酰胺是一种新型广谱性杀菌剂, 其作用机理是通过干扰呼吸链复合体 II, 来阻碍能量的合成, 从而抑制病菌的生长。为明确氟唑菌酰胺对水稻纹枯病菌的抑制效果, 评价其对水稻生产的安全性, 为防治水稻纹枯病菌提供轮换药剂。【方法】在室内采用菌丝生长速率法对氟唑菌酰胺进行了毒力测定, 并于 2019 年和 2020 年在江西省宜春市泗溪镇曾家村对其进行了为期 2 年的田间防效试验, 且在收获后分别进行了水稻产量性状分析。【结果】室内毒力: 氟唑菌酰胺对水稻纹枯病菌菌丝生长抑制的毒力回归方程为  $Y=0.9237X+5.3407$ ,  $r=0.9847$ ,  $EC_{50}$  和  $EC_{95}$  值分别为 0.4277 mg/L 和 25.8321 mg/L, 与戊唑醇相近且优于咪鲜胺及多菌灵。田间药效: 2019 年晚稻氟唑菌酰胺施用量为 160~200 g/hm<sup>2</sup> 时 7 d、14 d 的防效分别为 62.7%~69.5% 和 79%~82%; 2020 年早稻氟唑菌酰胺施用量为 160~200 g/hm<sup>2</sup> 时 7 d、14 d 的防效分别为 50.7%~51.4% 和 72.6%~74.6%; 均优于当季戊唑醇推荐用量为 80 g/hm<sup>2</sup> 时的防效。与空白处理小区相比, 单季最高增产可达 98.17%。【结论】氟唑菌酰胺对水稻纹枯病菌具有良好的抑制作用, 可用于水稻纹枯病的防治, 施用量为 160~200 g/hm<sup>2</sup> 时效果最好。对水稻生长安全, 且在一定程度上能够提高水稻的产量, 提升其品质。

**关键词:** 氟唑菌酰胺; 水稻纹枯病; 室内毒力测定; 田间防效; 产量

**中图分类号:** S482.2<sup>+</sup>6; S435.111.4<sup>+</sup>2 **文献标志码:** A **文章编号:** 1000-2286(2021)05-1015-07

## Toxicity and Field Control Efficacy of Pydiflumetofen against Rice Sheath Blight

BIAN Chuanfei<sup>1</sup>, NING Xu<sup>1</sup>, CUI Zongyin<sup>1</sup>, CHEN Silong<sup>2</sup>,  
LIU Zhihua<sup>2</sup>, LI Baotong<sup>1\*</sup>

(1. College of Land Resources and Environment, Jiangxi Agricultural University, Nanchang 330045, China;  
2. College of Agronomy, Jiangxi Agricultural University, Nanchang 330045, China)

**Abstract:** [Objective] In recent years, rice sheath blight (*Rhizoctonia solani* Kühn) has become one of the

收稿日期: 2021-04-15 修回日期: 2021-06-20

基金项目: “十三五”国家重点研发计划(2017YFD0301604)

Project supported by the National Key Research and Development Plan of China (2017YFD0301604)

作者简介: 卞传飞, orcid.org/0000-0002-6835-6279, bcf940331@163.com; \*通信作者: 李保同, 教授, 博士, 主要从事农药学研究, orcid.org/0000-0002-9435-4509, libt66@163.com。

most harmful fungal diseases in rice producing areas in China, not only causing serious losses in rice yield, but also reducing the quality of rice. In order to improve the effect of controlling rice sheath blight and reduce the resistance of rice sheath blight to commonly used fungicides, the development and use of new fungicides have become a current hot topic. Pydiflumetofen is a new broad-spectrum fungicide. Its mechanism of action is to hinder the synthesis of energy by interfering with the respiratory chain complex II, thereby inhibiting the growth of germs. This study was to clarify the inhibitory effect of pydiflumetofen on rice sheath blight, evaluate its safety in rice production, and provide a rotation agent for the control of rice sheath blight. **[Method]** The virulence of pydiflumetofen was tested indoors using the mycelial growth rate method, and a two-year field control test was carried out in Zengjia Village, Sixi Town, Yichun City, Jiangxi Province in 2019 and 2020, and after harvest, the rice yield and its traits were analyzed respectively. **[Result]** The toxicity test results: the regression equation of the virulence of pydiflumetofen on the mycelial growth inhibition of rice sheath blight was  $Y=0.9237X+5.3407$ ,  $r=0.9847$ , the  $EC_{50}$  and  $EC_{95}$  of pydiflumetofen on the growth inhibition of *Rhizoctonia solani* were 0.4277 mg/L and 25.8321 mg/L, respectively. It was similar to tebuconazole and superior to prochloraz and carbendazim. Field efficacy test results: in 2019, when the application rate of pydiflumetofen for late rice was 160–200 g/hm<sup>2</sup>, the control effects for 7 days and 14 days were 62.7% to 69.5% and 79% to 82%, respectively. The control effects for early rice in 2020 were 50.7% to 51.4% and 72.6% to 74.6%, respectively. Better than the recommended dosage of tebuconazole at 80 g/hm<sup>2</sup>. Compared with the blank processing area, the highest yield increase could reach 98.17%. **[Conclusion]** The results showed that pydiflumetofen has a good inhibitory effect on rice sheath blight and can be used for the control of rice sheath blight, and the effect is the best when the application rate is 160–200 g/hm<sup>2</sup>. It is safe for the growth of rice, and can increase the yield and quality of rice to a certain extent.

**Keywords:** pydiflumetofen; rice sheath blight; indoor virulence test; field control efficacy; yield

**【研究意义】**水稻是我国最重要的粮食作物之一,其栽培面积占我国粮食种植总面积的30%左右<sup>[1]</sup>,随着社会发展以及人民生活水平的提高,人们对稻米已不再仅限于“量”的需求,而更加注重口感及食味品质的提升<sup>[2–4]</sup>。由立枯丝核菌 *Rhizoctonia solani* 引起的水稻纹枯病是水稻三大病害之一<sup>[5–6]</sup>,水稻纹枯病菌的存活能力强,能够以菌核在土壤中越冬,也能够以菌丝体形式在稻茬、病残体、田间其他杂草寄主上越冬<sup>[7]</sup>。水稻纹枯病菌能借助田间灌溉水在田间传播,发生部位较为隐蔽,不易被及时发现,当一株水稻发病时,田间其他水稻很快也会染病,因此危害十分严重,严重威胁水稻生长发育和产量,给农业造成极大的经济损失<sup>[8–9]</sup>。据统计我国水稻纹枯病年发病面积达1300万hm<sup>2</sup>,一般减产在10%~20%,严重时达到50%,成为水稻主要病害之一<sup>[10]</sup>。化学防治是当前主要的防治措施,也是最为省时省力且效果显著的一种方式,但由于长期使用一种或同一类型的药剂,易造成抗药性的产生,如井冈霉素防治水稻效果就严重下降<sup>[11–12]</sup>,因此,必须制定综合有效的防治策略,延缓该病抗药性的产生,同时也需要开发、引进与推广新药剂<sup>[13]</sup>。**【前人研究进展】**氟唑菌酰胺(pydiflumetofen)是由瑞士先正达作物保护有限公司开发的新一代琥珀酸脱氢酶抑制剂(SDHI)类杀菌剂,其特点是广谱高效,适用于多种作物防治多种病害,且对作物生长较安全,可以在抗击真菌病害中发挥关键作用<sup>[14–16]</sup>。目前已有报道这种杀菌剂既可以单独使用,用于小麦赤霉病<sup>[17]</sup>、桑椹菌核病<sup>[18]</sup>、黄瓜霜霉病<sup>[19]</sup>等病害的防治,也可以与其他优良杀菌剂混合使用,用于柑橘疮痂病<sup>[20]</sup>、草莓白粉病<sup>[21]</sup>等病害的防治,并且不易产生交互抗性<sup>[22]</sup>。**【本研究切入点】**有关该药剂对水稻纹枯病的田间防效,国内外还未有报道。**【拟解决的关键问题】**本研究旨在通过氟唑菌酰胺对水稻纹枯病的室内毒力与大田防效试验,探讨氟唑菌酰胺对水稻纹枯病的抑制效果,并通过产量结果明确其田间实际应用的最佳剂量,为氟唑菌酰胺在水稻上的应用提供新依据。

## 1 材料与方法

### 1.1 供试菌种

水稻纹枯病菌:江西农业大学农学院实验室分离并保存。

1.2 供试药剂

200 g/L 氟唑菌酰胺悬浮剂、氟唑菌酰胺原药,瑞士先正达生物科技有限公司;430 g/L 戊唑醇悬浮剂,戊唑醇原药,拜耳股份有限公司;咪鲜胺原药,江苏辉丰生物农业股份有限公司;多菌灵原药,山东潍坊润丰化工股份有限公司。

1.3 试验方法

1.3.1 室内毒力测定 采用菌丝生长速率法,应用 PDA 培养基,在预备性试验的基础上,用无菌水将供试药剂稀释成适当浓度的母液,与灭菌的 PDA 培养基均匀混合,配成药剂浓度呈等比梯度的含药培养基,分别倒入直径 9 cm 的培养皿中 10~12 mL 待冷却。将活化后筛选出来的水稻纹枯病菌取出放置在超净工作台中,用 6 mm 直径的打孔器(沾酒精后在火焰上灼烧后冷却)在菌落外缘 1/3 处打取若干个菌碟,用接种针挑取菌碟至含药培养基平板的中部位置,菌丝面朝下,每个平板一个菌碟,密封好,并做好标记,培养皿倒扣放置。并设置不加药剂的培养皿为空白对照组,每组处理重复 3 次,接种完毕后将所有培养皿放入 28 ℃ 恒温箱中培养。待接种 24 h 后每天观察生长情况,待对照组长满培养皿时为止,用十字交叉法测量每个处理的菌落直径,取 2 次直径的平均值为该菌落的直径大小。

根据测量的数据求出不同药剂对水稻纹枯病菌的抑制率,为便于计算,需要将药剂浓度转变为对数值,生长抑制率转变为机率值,最后用 Excel 和 SPSS 软件对药剂浓度对数与机率值之间进行数据分析,从而计算得到线性回归方程  $Y=aX+b$ ,再求得  $EC_{50}$  和  $EC_{95}$  值及相关系数  $r$ 。菌丝生长抑制率的公式如下:

抑制率=(对照组菌落直径-处理组菌落直径)/(对照组菌落直径-菌碟直径)×100% (1)

1.3.2 田间药效试验 根据室内毒力测定结果,选择与氟唑菌酰胺毒力相近的戊唑醇作为对照药剂。采用浙江省下 SX-MD16E-2 背负式电动喷雾器,每次用水量为 600 L/hm<sup>2</sup>,在水稻分蘖期通过二次施药进行试验。具体施药时间及品种选择:晚稻:2019 年 9 月 17 日第 1 次施药,9 月 24 日第 2 次施药,供试水稻品种为“甬优 538”;早稻:2020 年 5 月 22 日第 1 次施药,5 月 29 日第 2 次施药,供试水稻品种为“陵两优 179”。试验地选择在江西省宜春市泗溪镇曾家村,28°9′36″N,115°3′36″E;土壤类型:潯育型麻砂泥田;pH 值约 5.22;有机质含量 3.59%。试验设置 7 个处理(表 1)。每处理设 3 个重复小区,每个小区面积 30 m<sup>2</sup>,随机区组排列,并筑起田埂以防药剂干扰邻近小区。

表 1 试验药剂剂量设计  
Tab.1 Dosage design for pesticides in experiment

| 编号 Serial number | 处理 Treatment     | 有效剂量/(g·hm <sup>-2</sup> ) Effective dosage |
|------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| A                | 200 g/L 氟唑菌酰胺 SC | 40                                          |
| B                | 200 g/L 氟唑菌酰胺 SC | 80                                          |
| C                | 200 g/L 氟唑菌酰胺 SC | 120                                         |
| D                | 200 g/L 氟唑菌酰胺 SC | 160                                         |
| E                | 200 g/L 氟唑菌酰胺 SC | 200                                         |
| F                | 430 g/L 戊唑醇 SC   | 80                                          |
| CK               | 清水/空白            | —                                           |

试验调查按农药田间药效试验准则(一):杀菌剂防治水稻纹枯病(GB/T 17980.20—2000)进行。于 2 次施药后 7 d 及 14 d 调查水稻纹枯病发病情况。采用对角线 5 点取样,每点调查附近 5 丛,共 25 丛,记录总株数、病株数和各病级数,计算病情指数、防效,及施药对水稻和其他生物的影响,计算各个处理小区的病情指数与相对应的防治效果。

病情分级标准:0 级为全株无病;1 级为第四叶片及其以下各叶鞘、叶片发病(以剑叶为第一片叶);3 级为第三叶片及其以下各叶鞘、叶片发病;5 级为第二叶片及其以下各叶鞘、叶片发病;7 级为剑叶及其以下各叶鞘、叶片发病;9 级为全株发病,提早枯死。其中病株率、病情指数和防治效果公式:

病株率=病株数/调查总株数×100% (2)

病情指数=100×Σ(各级病穗数×相对级数值)/(调查总穗数×最高级数值) (3)

病指防效=(1-(空白组施药前病指×处理组施药后病指)/(空白组施药后病指×处理组施药前病指))×100% (4)

株防效=(对照区株数-药区株数)/对照区株数×100% (5)

1.3.3 水稻测产 水稻成熟收割前,每个小区随机选取5穴水稻进行考种,包括单株有效穗数、株高、穗长、穗粒数、实粒数和千粒质量,由此计算理论产量和增产率。并采用SPSS 25.0对数据进行统计,采用Duncan新复极差法进行数据分析。

实粒率=样品实粒数/样品总粒数×100% (6)

理论产量=10 000 m<sup>2</sup>×有效穗数×平均实粒数×千粒质量/(1-13.5%(含水量))/106 (7)

增产率(或抑制率)=(施药区产量-对照区产量)/对照区产量×100% (8)

1.3.4 安全性调查 在第2次施药后1,3,5,7,10,20,30 d,调查药剂处理对水稻生长发育的影响。

## 2 结果与分析

### 2.1 室内毒力测定结果

由表2可知:4种杀菌剂对水稻纹枯病的EC<sub>50</sub>值由小到大依次是戊唑醇(0.321 2 mg/L)、氟唑菌酰胺(0.427 7 mg/L)、咪鲜胺(0.636 8 mg/L)、多菌灵(0.705 6 mg/L),而其EC<sub>95</sub>值由小到大依次是戊唑醇(21.970 1 mg/L)、多菌灵(24.680 1 mg/L)、氟唑菌酰胺(25.832 1 mg/L)、咪鲜胺(40.960 3 mg/L),表明氟唑菌酰胺在很低的浓度下对水稻纹枯病具有良好的抑制效果。

表2 4种杀菌剂的毒力回归方程、EC<sub>50</sub>、EC<sub>95</sub>与相关系数

Tab.2 The virulence regression equation, EC<sub>50</sub>, EC<sub>95</sub> and correlation coefficient of 4 fungicides

| 杀菌剂<br>Fungicide     | 毒力回归方程<br>Regression equation | EC <sub>50</sub> /<br>(mg·L <sup>-1</sup> ) | EC <sub>95</sub> /<br>(mg·L <sup>-1</sup> ) | 相关系数r<br>Correlation coefficient |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 戊唑醇 Tebuconazole     | Y=0.896 4X+5.442 1            | 0.321 2                                     | 21.970 1                                    | 0.991 5                          |
| 氟唑菌酰胺 Pydiflumetofen | Y=0.923 7X+5.340 7            | 0.427 7                                     | 25.832 1                                    | 0.984 7                          |
| 咪鲜胺 Prochloraz       | Y=0.909 6X+5.178 3            | 0.636 8                                     | 40.960 3                                    | 0.989 1                          |
| 多菌灵 Carbendazim      | Y=1.065 5X+5.161 4            | 0.705 6                                     | 24.680 1                                    | 0.982 9                          |

### 2.2 田间药效试验结果

2019年晚稻田间试验结果(表3)显示:在第2次施药后7 d,氟唑菌酰胺施药量为40~200 g/hm<sup>2</sup>时对水稻纹枯病的防效为60.92%~69.51%,与对照药剂戊唑醇施药量为80 g/hm<sup>2</sup>防效相当,且没有显著性差异;在第2次施药后14 d,氟唑菌酰胺施药量为120~200 g/hm<sup>2</sup>时的防效均有所提高,最高达到了82.12%,与戊唑醇推荐剂量没有显著差异。在供试的氟唑菌酰胺5个剂量中,以施药量为200 g/hm<sup>2</sup>时的防效最好,极显著高于施药量为40~120 g/hm<sup>2</sup>的防效。

2020年早稻田间试验结果(表3)显示:在第2次施药后7 d,氟唑菌酰胺施药量为40~200 g/hm<sup>2</sup>时对水稻纹枯病的防效为43.94%~51.39%,与对照药剂戊唑醇施药量为80 g/hm<sup>2</sup>防效相当,没有显著性差异;在第2次施药后14 d,氟唑菌酰胺各剂量的防效均有所提高,最高达到了74.56%。在供试的氟唑菌酰胺5个剂量中,以施药量为160 g/hm<sup>2</sup>时的防效最好,极显著高于施药量为40~80 g/hm<sup>2</sup>的防效,与戊唑醇推荐施药量的防效相当。

两季试验结果表明:氟唑菌酰胺施药量为160~200 g/hm<sup>2</sup>时,通过2次茎叶喷雾的方式施药对水稻纹枯病的防治效果最理想。

### 2.3 田间产量结果

两季产量结果(表4)显示:氟唑菌酰胺施药量对株高、穗长、千粒质量及有效穗数影响较小,但对单穗实粒数与产量结果影响较大。施药量为120~200 g/hm<sup>2</sup>时:与不施药小区对比,2019年水稻增产率为11.77%~19.01%,2020年水稻增产率为76.74%~98.17%,其产量均高于同年对照药剂戊唑醇推荐剂量下的产量,说明其具有明显的增产作用。



表 3 各药剂对水稻纹枯病的田间防治效果  
Tab.3 Field control effect of various pesticides on rice sheath blight

| 时间<br>Time             | 编号<br>No. | 病指基数<br>Cardinal<br>number | 第 2 次药后 7 d                      |                  |                   | 第 2 次药后 14 d                      |                  |                   |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                        |           |                            | 7 d after the second application |                  |                   | 14 d after the second application |                  |                   |
|                        |           |                            | 病株率/%                            | 病指               | 防效/%              | 病株率/%                             | 病指               | 防效/%              |
|                        |           |                            | Rate of<br>diseased plant        | Disease<br>index | Control<br>effect | Rate of<br>diseased plant         | Disease<br>index | Control<br>effect |
| 2019 年晚稻<br>Late rice  | A         | 0.48                       | 12.67                            | 1.93             | 61.74aA           | 30.67                             | 6.30             | 62.66cC           |
|                        | B         | 0.40                       | 10.67                            | 1.63             | 60.92aA           | 21.00                             | 4.70             | 65.22cC           |
|                        | C         | 0.41                       | 10.00                            | 1.63             | 61.74aA           | 18.33                             | 3.48             | 74.70bB           |
|                        | D         | 0.37                       | 10.33                            | 1.44             | 62.70aA           | 17.67                             | 2.63             | 79.06abAB         |
|                        | E         | 0.59                       | 17.00                            | 1.89             | 69.51aA           | 23.00                             | 3.59             | 82.12aA           |
|                        | F         | 0.56                       | 14.67                            | 1.85             | 68.12aA           | 24.33                             | 3.74             | 80.14abAB         |
|                        | CK        | 0.41                       | 21.70                            | 4.26             |                   | 64.33                             | 13.81            |                   |
| 2020 年早稻<br>Early rice | A         | 1.77                       | 30.67                            | 11.50            | 43.94bB           | 32.33                             | 19.64            | 52.26cC           |
|                        | B         | 1.49                       | 21.33                            | 9.49             | 45.02abAB         | 28.00                             | 15.55            | 55.13cC           |
|                        | C         | 1.16                       | 18.00                            | 7.17             | 46.87abAB         | 27.67                             | 8.84             | 67.33bB           |
|                        | D         | 1.68                       | 17.67                            | 9.59             | 50.65aA           | 29.33                             | 9.91             | 74.56aA           |
|                        | E         | 1.54                       | 23.33                            | 8.66             | 51.39aA           | 32.00                             | 9.77             | 72.64abAB         |
|                        | F         | 1.68                       | 24.33                            | 9.77             | 49.69abAB         | 40.67                             | 11.82            | 69.67abAB         |
|                        | CK        | 1.95                       | 47.67                            | 22.67            | —                 | 64.47                             | 45.47            | —                 |

同列数据后标有不同小写字母者表示数据间差异显著( $P<0.05$ ),标有不同大写字母者表示数据间差异极显著( $P<0.01$ )。  
The lowercase letters after the same column indicate significant difference at 0.05 level, lowercase letters at the end of the same column indicate a very significant difference at the 0.01 level.

表 4 不同药剂及处理对水稻产量性状的影响  
Tab.4 Effects of different chemicals and treatments on rice yield and characters

| 时间<br>Time             | 编号<br>No. | 株高/cm           | 穗长/cm             | 有效穗/<br>(万·hm <sup>-2</sup> ) | 单穗实粒数                           | 千粒质量/g                 | 理论产量/<br>(kg·hm <sup>-2</sup> ) | 增产率/%             |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
|                        |           | Plant<br>height | Panicle<br>length | Effective<br>panicles         | Filled spikelets<br>per panicle | 1 000-<br>grain weight | Theoretical<br>yield            | Yield<br>increase |
| 2019 年晚稻<br>Late rice  | A         | 93.47aA         | 21.05aA           | 247.92aA                      | 111.52cC                        | 22.25aA                | 6 148.44cdCD                    | 4.24cC            |
|                        | B         | 95.40aA         | 21.43aA           | 245.83aA                      | 113.7bcBC                       | 22.47aA                | 6 267.90cdCD                    | 6.26cC            |
|                        | C         | 93.93aA         | 21.86aA           | 256.25aA                      | 114.37abcABC                    | 22.50aA                | 6 592.75abcABC                  | 11.77abcABC       |
|                        | D         | 93.10aA         | 21.87aA           | 251.10aA                      | 119.75aA                        | 22.90aA                | 6 883.05abAB                    | 16.69abAB         |
|                        | E         | 93.07aA         | 21.77aA           | 260.42aA                      | 118.68abAB                      | 22.70aA                | 7 019.51aA                      | 19.01bcBC         |
|                        | F         | 95.17aA         | 21.30aA           | 247.08aA                      | 114.28abcABC                    | 22.59aA                | 6 378.15bcdBCD                  | 8.13bcBC          |
|                        | CK        | 94.27aA         | 21.53aA           | 250.20aA                      | 105.93dD                        | 22.26aA                | 5 898.38dD                      | —                 |
| 2020 年早稻<br>Early rice | A         | 82.45aA         | 16.75aA           | 198.35aA                      | 71.43bB                         | 23.76aA                | 3 367.40dD                      | 46.43aA           |
|                        | B         | 80.92aA         | 16.00aA           | 196.67aA                      | 73.2bB                          | 23.47aA                | 3 378.19dD                      | 46.90aA           |
|                        | C         | 83.58aA         | 16.58aA           | 205.00aA                      | 74.32bB                         | 23.82aA                | 3 628.84cC                      | 57.80cC           |
|                        | D         | 80.75aA         | 16.45aA           | 200.25aA                      | 86.23aA                         | 23.57aA                | 4 064.28bB                      | 76.74bB           |
|                        | E         | 81.58aA         | 15.63aA           | 208.33aA                      | 91.38aA                         | 23.98aA                | 4 557.09aA                      | 98.17aA           |
|                        | F         | 82.58aA         | 16.08aA           | 195.00aA                      | 88.41aA                         | 23.81aA                | 4 103.92bB                      | 78.46bB           |
|                        | CK        | 81.58aA         | 15.92aA           | 200.00aA                      | 50.28cC                         | 22.84aA                | 2 299.66eE                      | —                 |

同列数据后标有不同小写字母者表示数据间差异显著( $P<0.05$ ),标有不同大写字母者表示数据间差异极显著( $P<0.01$ )。  
The lowercase letters after the same column indicate significant difference at 0.05 level, lowercase letters at the end of the same column indicate a very significant difference at the 0.01 level.

## 2.4 安全性调查结果

施药后不定期目测观察,各处理小区的水稻在叶形、色泽、扬花、抽穗以及成熟时均正常,未见矮化、畸形或者褪色等药害症状,水稻生长正常。这表明,供试药剂在试验剂量范围内对水稻生长发育安全。

## 3 结论与讨论

由于水稻在生产种植中缺乏纹枯病高抗品种,化学防治仍然是其主要的防治措施<sup>[23-24]</sup>。而咪鲜胺、多菌灵、井冈霉素等药剂的长期使用,使得纹枯病菌株的抗药性越来越高,常规推荐剂量下的防效正逐年下降,通过试验筛选新药剂成为重中之重<sup>[25-26]</sup>。氟唑菌酰胺作为先正达开发的吡唑酰胺类杀菌剂,已有报道其对多种真菌病害具有良好的防治效果,并且被多国登记和上市。

通过室内毒力测定得知,在马铃薯葡萄糖琼脂培养基上,氟唑菌酰胺对水稻纹枯病菌的 $EC_{50}$ 和 $EC_{95}$ 分别为0.427 7 mg/L和25.832 1 mg/L;接近戊唑醇的 $EC_{50}$ (0.321 2 mg/L)和 $EC_{95}$ (21.970 1 mg/L),说明氟唑菌酰胺作为新型药剂,能够有效抑制水稻纹枯病菌。田间药效试验表明:在2019年晚稻氟唑菌酰胺施用量为160~200 g/hm<sup>2</sup>时7 d、14 d的防效分别为62.7%~69.5%和79%~82%;2020年早稻的防效分别为50.7%~51.4%和72.6%~74.6%;均优于当季戊唑醇推荐用量为80 g/hm<sup>2</sup>时的防效。与空白处理小区相比,单季最高增产可达98.17%。由此结果对比得出,氟唑菌酰胺施药量为160~200 g/hm<sup>2</sup>时,并且经2次茎叶喷雾后对水稻纹枯病菌具有良好的防治效果,可有效降低病株率及病情指数,延缓病菌抗药性,并能够有效避免纹枯病的大规模发生,增加其产量,增加农民收入,且对水稻生长安全,为后续氟唑菌酰胺在水稻上的应用提供科学依据。

## 参考文献 References:

- [1] 郭群善,贺玮.水氮互作对水稻产量及品质的影响[J].节水灌溉,2016(5):42-47.  
GOU Q S, HE W. Effects of water and nitrogen interaction on yield and quality of rice[J]. Water saving irrigation, 2016(5): 42-47.
- [2] JEANAFLORE C T C, MAKARA O, DULE Z, et al. The need for new tools and investment to improve the accuracy of selecting for grain quality in rice[J]. Field crops research, 2015, 182: 60-67.
- [3] JULIANO B O, BAUTISTA G M, LUGAY J C, et al. Rice quality, studies on physicochemical properties of rice[J]. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 1964, 12(2): 131-138.
- [4] YU L, TURNER M S, FITZGERALD M, et al. Review of the effects of different processing technologies on cooked and convenience rice quality[J]. Trends in food science & technology, 2017, 59: 124-138.
- [5] 俞寅达,孙姘琚,夏志辉.水稻纹枯病生物防控研究进展[J].分子植物育种,2019,17(2):600-605.  
YU Y D, SUN H J, XIA Z H. Progress on biological control of rice sheath blight[J]. Molecular plant breeding, 2019, 17(2): 600-605.
- [6] RUSH M, LEE F. Rice sheath blight: a major rice disease[J]. Plant disease, 1983, 67(7): 829-832.
- [7] FENG S, SHU C, WANG C, et al. Survival of *Rhizoctonia solani* AG-1 IA, the causal agent of rice sheath blight, under different environmental conditions[J]. Journal of phytopathology, 2017, 165(1): 44-52.
- [8] SAVARY S, MEW T W. Analyzing crop losses due to *Rhizoctonia solani*: rice sheath blight, a case study[M]//SNEH B, JABAJI-HARE S, NEATE S M, et al. *Rhizoctonia* species: taxonomy, molecular biology, ecology, pathology and disease control. Berlin: Springer, 1996: 237-245.
- [9] 韩冲冲,李飞,李保同,等.无人机喷施雾滴在水稻群体内的沉积分布及防效研究[J].江西农业大学学报,2019,41(1):58-67.  
HAN C C, LI F, LI B T, et al. A study on deposition distribution of droplets by UAV spray in rice population and its efficacy[J]. Acta agriculturae universitatis Jiangxiensis, 2019, 41(1): 58-67.
- [10] MU W, WANG Z, BI Y, et al. Sensitivity determination and resistance risk assessment of *Rhizoctonia solani* to SDHI fungicide thifluzamide[J]. Annals of applied biology, 2017, 170(2): 240-250.
- [11] YU Y Y, JIANG C H, WANG C, et al. An improved strategy for stable biocontrol agents selecting to control rice sheath blight

- caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*[J].Microbiological research,2017,203:1-9.
- [12] SINGH R,SUNDER S,KUMAR P.Sheath blight of rice:current status and perspectives[J].Indian phytopathology,2016,69(4):340-351.
- [13] YELLAREDDYGARI S,REDDY M S,KLOEPPER J W,et al.Rice sheath blight:a review of disease and pathogen management approaches[J].Journal of plant pathology & microbiology,2014,5(4):1.
- [14] 卞传飞,刘浪,高美珠,等.20% 氟唑菌酰胺SC的高效液相色谱-质谱分析[J].农药,2021,60(3):189-191.  
BIAN C F,LIU L,GAO M Z,et al.Analytical method for pydiflumetofen 20% SC by HPLC-MS[J].Agrochemicals,2021,60(3):189-191.
- [15] 卞传飞,王龙,石先罗,等.34% 氟唑菌酰胺·咯菌腈悬浮剂的高效液相色谱分析[J].农药,2021,60(5):339-341.  
BIAN C F,WANG L,SHI X L,et al.Analytical method for pydiflumetofen·fludioxonil 34% SC by HPLC[J].Agrochemicals,2021,60(5):339-341.
- [16] BIAN C,GAO M,LIU L,et al.Determination of pydiflumetofen residues in rice and its environment by an optimized QuEChERS method coupled with HPLC-MS[J].Bulletin of environmental contamination and toxicology,2021,107(2):239-247.
- [17] 孙海燕,原征,疏燕,等.不同杀菌剂对小麦赤霉病和叶锈病的防治效果[J].植物保护,2021,47(1):273-276.  
SUN H Y,YUAN Z,SHU Y,et al.Control efficacy of different fungicides against Fusarium head blight and leaf rust in wheat [J].Plant protection,2021,47(1):273-276.
- [18] 吴彩玲,朱松涛,黄蔚兰,等.5种杀菌剂对桑椹菌核病的田间防治效果评价[J].基层农技推广,2020,8(12):31-33.  
WU C L,ZHU S T,HUANG W L,et al.Evaluation of field control effects of 5 fungicides on mulberry sclerotinia[J].Primary agricultural technology extension,2020,8(12):31-33.
- [19] 王斌,司乃国,孙芹,等.几种药剂防治黄瓜霜霉病和小麦白粉病的防治效果[J].农药,2018,57(12):918-920.  
WANG B,SI N G,SUN Q,et al.The efficacy of several fungicides against cucumber downy mildew and wheat powdery mildew[J].Agrochemicals,2018,57(12):918-920.
- [20] 陈瑾,胡茵青,赖瑞联,等.18% 氟唑菌酰胺·苯醚甲环唑悬浮剂防治柑橘疮痂病田间防效[J].农药,2018,57(9):690-692.  
CHEN J,HU H Q,LAI R L,et al.Field efficacy of pydiflumetofen·difenoconazole 18% SC against Scab diseases of citrus [J].Agrochemicals,2018,57(9):690-692.
- [21] 李成,徐锦瑾,朱淑华,等.氟唑菌酰胺·苯醚甲环唑对草莓白粉病的田间防效[J].浙江农业科学,2021,62(2):364-365.  
LI C,XU J J,ZHU S H,et al.Field efficacy of 20% pydiflumetofen·difenoconazole against strawberry powdery mildew [J].Journal of Zhejiang agricultural sciences,2021,62(2):364-365.
- [22] NEVES D L,BRADLEY C A.Baseline sensitivity of *Cercospora zeae-maydis* to pydiflumetofen,a new succinate dehydrogenase inhibitor fungicide[J].Crop protection,2019,119:177-179.
- [23] SINGH P,MAZUMDAR P,HARIKRISHNA J A,et al.Sheath blight of rice:a review and identification of priorities for future research.[J].Planta,2019,250(5):1387-1407.
- [24] 曾宇翔,李西明,马良勇,等.水稻纹枯病抗性基因定位及抗性资源发掘的研究进展[J].中国水稻科学,2010,24(5):544-550.  
ZENG Y X,LI X M,MA L Y,et al.Research progress on mapping of gene conferring resistance to sheath blight and exploitation of resistance resources in rice[J].Chinese journal of rice science,2010,24(5):544-550.
- [25] RAJENDRA P,AYUB K,WENDY-ANN I,et al.Plant extracts,bioagents and new generation fungicides in the control of rice sheath blight in Guyana[J].Crop protection,2019,119:30-37.
- [26] 陈小龙,方夏,沈寅初.纹枯病菌对井冈霉素的作用机制、抗药性及安全性[J].农药,2010,49(7):481-483.  
CHEN X L,FANG X,SHEN Y C.Mechanism,resistance and security of jinggangmycin against *Rhizoctonia solani*[J].Agrochemicals,2010,49(7):481-483.