

OPERATORS IN \oplus ARE M-HYPONORMAL

Received September 9, 1984.

Suppose that T is an operator in \oplus , then T can determine a normal operator

$$C = 1/2[T + T^* + i\sqrt{4T^*T - (T + T^*)^2}]. \quad (1)$$

Lemma. Let T be an operator in \oplus on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Suppose that C is defined as in Eq. (1) and λ is a complex scalar. Then in case $\text{Im}\lambda > 0$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\|(T - \lambda)(C - \lambda)^{-1}x\| \leq \|x\|.$$

In case $\text{Im}\lambda < 0$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\|(T - \lambda)(C - \lambda)^{-1}x\| \leq \|x\|.$$

Theorem. Let T be an operator in \oplus on \mathcal{H} , then for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\|(T - \lambda)^*x\| \leq 3\|(T - \lambda)x\|, \quad (2)$$

that is, T is M -hyponormal.

Corollary 1 (Putnam-Fuglede Type Theorem). Suppose that T and S^* are operators in \oplus . If there is an operator W such that $TWS = W$, then $\mathcal{N}(W)^\perp$ and $\text{Cl}\mathcal{R}(W)$ reduce S and T respectively, and $S|_{\mathcal{N}(W)^\perp}$, $T|_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{R}(W)}$ are normal. Consequently, $T^*WS^* = W$.

Corollary 2. If T is an operator in \oplus , and T^* is a dominant operator, then T must be normal.

FAN MING (范明)

(Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University,
Shanghai)

SOME QUESTIONS ON INCREMENTS OF A WIENER PROCESS

Received September 26, 1984.

Hanson and Russo considered some forms of increments of a Wiener process (*Ann. Probability*, **11** (1983), 609–623). And they proposed five questions. Soon they answered the first question by themselves. We try to answer the rest. Let $\{W(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a standard Wiener process and

$$d(T, t) = \{2t[\log(T/t) + \log \log t]\}^{1/2}.$$

The following theorem answers Questions 2–4.

Theorem 1.

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |W(T) - W(T - s)| / d(T, t) = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Combining this theorem with theorem 2.2 (*Ann. Probability*, **11** (1983), 1009–1015), we can

sharpen the latter.

Theorem 2. Suppose that a_T is measurable and that $0 < a_T \leq T$ for all $T > 0$. Then the set of limit points (as $T \rightarrow \infty$) of

$$\frac{W(T) - W(T - a_T)}{\{2a_T[\log(T/a_T) + \log \log T]\}^{1/2}}$$

is $[-1, 1]$ with probability one.

Theorem 3 partially answers Question 5.

Theorem 3. Under Conditions

(i) $a_T \rightarrow \infty$ continuously as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

(ii) $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T / a_T}{\log \log a_T} = \infty$,

we have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} |W(t + a_T) - W(t)| /$$

$$d(t + a_T, a_T) = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} |W(t + s) - W(t)| /$$

$$d(t + a_T, a_T) = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

LIN ZHENGYAN (林正炎)
(Hangzhou University)