Life Span of Solution to Cauchy Problem for a Semilinear Heat Equation*

WANG Ming-Xin (王明新)

(Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, PRC, Department of Mathematics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475001, PRC)

Received February 15, 1993.

Keywords: semilinear heat equation, blow up, life span.

It is known that for 1 , <math>n=2 or $1 , <math>n \ge 3$, the elliptic problem $\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^p - u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(x) > 0, \ u(x) \to 0 \text{ as } |x| \to +\infty \end{cases}$

has a unique solution $\overline{u}(x)$ which is radially symmetric and satisfies $\overline{u}'(0) = 0$, $\overline{u}'(r) < 0$, $\overline{u}(x) \sim Me^{-\alpha|x|^2} \text{ as } |x| \to +\infty. \text{ Let } u(x, t) \text{ be the solution (positive) of}$ $\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = u^p - u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ 0 < t < T, \\ u(x, 0) = \lambda \overline{u}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \lambda > 0. \end{cases}$

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = u^p - u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ 0 < t < T, \\ u(x, 0) = \lambda \overline{u}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \lambda > 0. \end{cases}$$
 (1)

In Ref. [1] it has been proved that if $\lambda > 1$, then u(x, t) blows up in finite time. We denote the life span of u(x, t) by T_{λ} . In this note, we discuss the estimate of T_{λ} , and obtain the following

Theorem. Let $\lambda > 1$.

(i) If
$$1 , $n=2$ or $1 , $n \ge 3$, then
$$\lambda^{1-p}\overline{u}^{1-p}(0)/(p-1) \le T_{\lambda} \le C/(\lambda^{p-1}-1),$$

$$(1/(p-1))^{1/(p-1)}e^{-T_{\lambda}} \le \lim_{t \to T_{\lambda}^{-}} (T_{\lambda}-t)^{1/(p-1)} \cdot u(0, t) \le C.$$$$$

(ii) If in addition $p \ge 2$, then as $\lambda \to 1^+$, $T_{\lambda} = O(-\ln(\lambda^{p-1} - 1))$.

Proof. (i) Choose
$$\alpha = (p-1)/(p+1)$$
, and set $I(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{p+1}(x, t) dx$, $J(t) = I^{-\alpha}(t)$.

According to the proof of Theorem 3 in Ref. [1] we know that there exists $0 < T_1 \le T_0 < T_0$ -J(0)/J'(0), such that $\lim_{t\to T_0^-} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{p+1} = +\infty$, $\lim_{t\to T_1^-} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{\infty} = +\infty$. Direct computation gives

$$-\frac{J(0)}{J'(0)} = \frac{\int_{R^n} \overline{u}^{p+1}(x)dx}{(p-1)\int_{R^n} \overline{u}^{2p}(x)dx} \times \frac{1}{\lambda^{p-1}-1} = T^*.$$
 (2)

^{*} Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Therefore $T_{\lambda} = T_1 \le T_0 < T^* = C/(\lambda^{p-1} - 1)$.

Let $e^t u = v$. Then $\lim_{t \to T_{\lambda}^-} u(x, t) = +\infty$ iff $\lim_{t \to T_{\lambda}^-} v(x, t) = +\infty$.

$$\begin{cases} v_t - \Delta v = e^{(1-p)t} v^p, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ 0 < t < T_\lambda, \\ v(x, \ 0) = \lambda \overline{u}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$
 (3)

For any A > 1, define

 $X = \{v(t): [0, t_1] \to L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is continuous, } v(t) \ge 0 \text{ and } ||v(t)||_{\infty} \le A\lambda \overline{u}(0)\},$

$$F(v(t)) = e^{t\Delta}(\lambda \overline{u}) + \int_0^t e^{-(p-1)s} e^{(t-s)\Delta} v^p(s) \, ds, \ v \in X, \ 0 \le t \le t_1.$$

Then we have

$$0 \leqslant F(v(t)) \leqslant \lambda \overline{u}(0) + A^p \lambda^p \overline{u}^p(0) \int_0^t e^{-(p-1)s} ds \leqslant \lambda \overline{u}(0) + A^p \lambda^p \overline{u}^p(0) t.$$

Choose $0 < t_1 = (A - 1)/(A^p \lambda^{p-1} \overline{u}^{p-1}(0))$. Then $F(v(t)) \le A \lambda \overline{u}(0)$ for any $0 \le t \le t_1$, i.e.

$$F(v) \in X$$
.

Define sequence

$$v_0(t) = e^{t\Delta}(\lambda \overline{u}), \ v_m(t) = F(v_{m-1}(t)), \ m = 1, 2, \cdots$$

Then we have $v_m(t) \ge v_{m-1}(t)$ for all $0 \le t \le t_1$, $m = 1, 2, \cdots$. And hence

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} v_m(t) = v(t) \in X \text{ and } v(t) = F(v(t)).$$

This shows that v(t) is the solution of the following integral equation

$$v(t) = e^{t\Delta}(\lambda u) + \int_0^t e^{-(p-1)s} e^{(t-s)\Delta} v^p(s) ds, \ 0 \le t \le t_1.$$

That is, v(t) is $L^{\infty}(R^n)$ solution of (3) defined on $[0, t_1]$. From the smooth property of $\overline{u}(x)$, it is easily proved that v(x, t) = v(t) is classical solution of (3). $v(t) \in X$ implies that $0 \le v(x, t) \le A \lambda \overline{u}(0)$ on $R^n \times [0, t_1]$.

Considering $v(x, t_1)$ as the initial data of (3) and using $v(x, t_1) \le A\lambda \overline{u}(0)$, similar to the above arguments we prove that if $t_2 - t_1 = (A - 1)/(A^p(A\lambda)^{p-1}\overline{u}^{p-1}(0))$, then (3) has classical solution v(x, t) on $[t_1, t_2]$. Continuing the above procedure, we deduce that if

$$t_k - t_{k-1} = (A-1)/(A^p(A^{k-1}\lambda)^{p-1}\overline{u}^{p-1}(0)),$$

then (3) has classical solution v(x, t) on $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$, $k=1, 2, \cdots$. Therefore

$$T_{\lambda} \ge t_{\infty} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (t_k - t_{k-1}) = (A-1) A^{-p} \lambda^{1-p} \overline{u}^{1-p} (0) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A^{(1-p)(k-1)}$$

$$= (A-1)A^{-1}(A^{p-1}-1)^{-1}\lambda^{1-p}\overline{u}^{1-p}(0)$$

Let $A \rightarrow 1^+$. It is deduced that

$$T_{\lambda} \geqslant \lambda^{1-p} \overline{u}^{1-p}(0)/(p-1).$$

The first result of (i) holds.

If we consider v(x, t) as the initial data of (3), similar to the above proof we have

$$T_i - t \ge ||v(\cdot, t)||_{\infty}^{1-p}/(p-1) = e^{(1-p)t}||u(\cdot, t)||_{\infty}^{1-p}/(p-1).$$

Because $\overline{u}(x) = \overline{u}(r)$ and $\overline{u}'(r) < 0$, it follows that u(x, t) = u(r, t) is radial function of x and $u_r(r, t) < 0$ (for r > 0), so that $||u(\cdot, t)||_{\infty} = u(0, t)$ and

$$\lim_{t \to T_{\lambda}} (T_{\lambda} - t)^{1/(p-1)} u(0, t) \ge e^{-T_{\lambda}} \left(\frac{1}{p-1} \right)^{1/(p-1)}.$$

Using the method of Ref. [2] it is easy to prove that there exists C>0 such that

$$\lim_{t \to T_{\lambda}^{-}} (T_{\lambda} - t)^{1/(p-1)} u(0, t) \leq C.$$

The second result of (i) holds.

(ii) In Ref. [1] we have proved that the solution u(x, t) = u(r, t) of (1) satisfies $u_t(x, t) \ge 0$, $\ne 0$ and for any $0 < T < T_\lambda$, there exist $M_0 > 0$ and $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that $u(x, t) \le M_0 \exp\{-\alpha_0 |x|^2\}$ on $R^n \times [0, T]$. Moreover, $|\nabla u|$ and $|\nabla u_t|$ are uniformly bounded on $R^n \times [0, T]$. Therefore, the following integration by parts are reasonable.

If $p \ge 2$, set $\tau = e^t$, $v(x, \tau) = u(x, \ln \tau)$. Then $t \ge 0$ iff $\tau \ge 1$.

$$\begin{cases} \tau v_{\tau} - \Delta = v^{\rho} - v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \ 1 < \tau < \tau_{0}, \\ v(x, 1) = \lambda \overline{u}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}. \end{cases}$$

Let $I(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(v(x, \tau)) dx$, $h(v) = v^{p+1}$. Write $f(v) = v^p - v$. Then

$$I'(\tau) = \int_{R^{n}} h'(v)v_{\tau} dx,$$

$$\tau I'(\tau) = \int_{R^{n}} h'(v)(\tau v_{\tau}) dx = \int_{R^{n}} h'(v)(\Delta v + f(v)) dx$$

$$= -\int_{R^{n}} h''(v)|\nabla v|^{2} dx + \int_{R^{n}} h'(v)f(v) dx.$$

$$(\tau I'(\tau))' = -\int_{R^{n}} h''' |\nabla v|^{2} v_{\tau} dx + \int_{R^{n}} (h''f + h'f') v_{\tau} dx$$

$$-\int_{R^{n}} h'' \frac{d}{d\tau} |\nabla v|^{2}.$$
(4)

On the other hand,

$$(\tau I'(\tau))' = \int_{R^n} [h'(v)(\tau v_{\tau})]_{\tau} dx$$

$$= \int_{R^n} \tau h''(v) v_{\tau}^2 dx + \int_{R^n} h'(v) (\Delta v + f(v))_{\tau} dx$$

$$= \int_{R^n} \tau h''(v) v_{\tau}^2 dx + \int_{R^n} h'(v) f'(v) v_{\tau} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{R^n} h''(v) \frac{d}{d\tau} |\nabla v|^2 dx.$$
 (5)

 $(5) \times 2 - (4)$ gives

$$(\tau I'(\tau))' = 2\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h''(v) v_{\tau}^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h'''(v) |\nabla v|^2 v_{\tau} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (h'f' - h''f) v_{\tau} dx.$$

Using $I'(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h'(v) v_{\tau} dx$, from the above equation we get

$$\tau I''(\tau) = 2\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h''(v) v_{\tau}^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h'''(v) |\nabla v|^2 v_{\tau} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f'h' - h''f - h') v_{\tau} dx.$$

 $u_t \ge 0$ implies $v_t \ge 0$. Direct computations show that

$$h'''(v) > 0$$
, $h'(v)f'(v) - h''(v)f(v) - h'(v) \ge 0$.

Hence

$$I''(\tau) \geqslant 2 \int_{R^n} h''(v) v_\tau^2 dx.$$

Choose $\alpha = (p-1)/(p+1)$, $J(\tau) = I^{-\alpha}(\tau)$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3 in Ref. [1] it can be proved that there exists $1 < \tau_0 \le 1 - J(1)/J'(1)$, such that $J(\tau_0) = 0$, thus

$$\lim_{\tau \to \tau_0^-} I(\tau) = +\infty, \quad \text{i. e. } \lim_{\tau \to \tau_0^-} \|v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{p+1} = +\infty.$$

Therefore, there exists $T_0 \le \ln \tau_0$ such that $\lim_{t \to T_0} ||u(\cdot, t)||_{p+1} = +\infty$. From the construction of $J(\tau)$ it is easy to know that $-J(1)/J'(1) = T^*$, where T^* is defined by (2), so that

$$T_{\lambda} \leq T_{0} \leq \ln \tau_{0} \leq \ln(1+T^{*}) = \ln(1+C/(\lambda^{p-1}-1))$$

$$= \ln(C+\lambda^{p-1}-1) - \ln(\lambda^{p-1}-1) = O(-\ln(\lambda^{p-1}-1))$$
(6)

as $\lambda > 1$ and close to 1.

In the sequel we will prove that if 1 , <math>n=2 or $1 , <math>n \ge 3$, then

$$T_{\lambda} \geqslant \overline{u}^{1-p}(0) \lambda^{p-1} \int_{0}^{\lambda^{1-p}} \frac{s^{p}}{1-s^{p-1}} ds.$$

In fact, choose $A = \overline{u}^{p-1}(0) > 1$. It is easy to prove that $\overline{u}(x) - e^{-t}e^{t\Delta}\overline{u}(x) \leqslant At\overline{u}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \ge 0$. Write (1) as the integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{-t} e^{t\Delta} (\lambda \overline{u}) + e^{-t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{s} e^{(t-s)\Delta} \overline{u}^{p}(s) ds.$$
 (7)

 $\overline{u}(x)$ satisfies $\overline{u} = e^{-t}e^{t\Delta}\overline{u} + e^{-t}\int_0^t e^s e^{(t-s)\Delta}\overline{u}^p ds$. Choose B > 1 and define

$$X = \{u(t): [0, t_1] \rightarrow L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ is continuous, } 0 \le u(t) \le B\lambda \overline{u}(x)\},$$

$$F(u) = e^{-t}e^{t\Delta}(\lambda \overline{u}) + e^{-t} \int_0^t e^{s}e^{(t-s)\Delta}u^p(s) ds, \ u \in X.$$

For $0 \le t \le t_1$,

$$0 \leq F(u(t)) \leq e^{-t} e^{t\Delta} (\lambda \overline{u}) + B^{p} \lambda^{p} e^{-t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{s} e^{(t-s)\Delta} \overline{u}^{p} ds$$

$$= \lambda \overline{u} + \lambda (B^{p} \lambda^{p-1} - 1) (\overline{u} - e^{-t} e^{t\Delta} \overline{u})$$

$$\leq \lambda \overline{u} + \lambda (B^{p} \lambda^{p-1} - 1) A \overline{u} t.$$

Choose $t_1: (B^p \lambda^{p-1} - 1) A t_1 = B - 1$. Then for any $0 \le t \le t_1$, $0 \le F(u(t)) \le B \lambda \overline{u}$ holds, i.e. $F(u(t)) \in X$. Define sequence

$$u_0(t) = e^{-t}e^{t\Delta}(\lambda \overline{u}), \ u_m(t) = F(u_{m-1}(t)), \ m=1, 2, \cdots$$

It is easy to verify $u_m(t) \geqslant u_{m-1}(t)$, for all $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_1$. Thus

$$\lim_{m \to +\infty} u_m(t) = u(t) \in X \text{ and } u(t) = F(u(t)).$$

This shows that u(t) is the solution of (7) on $[0, t_1]$ and $u(x, t_1) \leq B\lambda \overline{u}$.

Considering $u(x, t_1)$ as the initial data and using $u(x, t_1) \le B\lambda \overline{u}$, similar to the above arguments we can prove that if $t_2 - t_1$ satisfies $[B^p(B\lambda)^{p-1} - 1]A(t_2 - t_1) = B - 1$, then (7) has solution u(x, t) on $[t_1, t_2]$. So, (7) has solution u(x, t) on $[0, t_2]$ and $u(x, t) \le B^2\lambda \overline{u}$ for all $x \in R^n$ and $0 \le t \le t_2$.

By induction we see that if $t_k - t_{k-1}$ satisfies

$$t_k - t_{k-1} = (B-1)/A[B^p(B^{k-1}\lambda)^{p-1} - 1], \tag{8}$$

then (7) has solution u(x, t) on $[0, t_k]$. Therefore,

$$T_{\lambda} \geqslant t_{\infty} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (t_k - t_{k-1}), \ t_0 = 0.$$

Choose $B = \lambda^{p-1} > 1$. From (8) we obtain

$$T_{\lambda} \ge (B-1)A^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (B^{p+(k-1)(p-1)}\lambda^{p-1} - 1)^{-1}$$
$$= (B-1)A^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (B^{p+1+(k-1)(p-1)} - 1)^{-1}.$$

In view of the inequality $x^q - 1 \le q(x-1)x^{q-1}$ (x > 1, q > 1), we obtain

$$T_{\lambda} \geqslant \frac{1}{A} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[2 + k(p-1)]B^{1+k(p-1)}}$$
.

For y < 1, set $F(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 + k(p-1)} y^{1 + k(p-1)}$. Then

$$yF(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 + k(p-1)} y^{2 + k(p-1)} = \int_{0}^{y} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y^{1 + k(p-1)} dy = \int_{0}^{y} \frac{s^{p}}{1 - s^{p-1}} ds.$$

Consequently,

$$T_{\lambda} \geqslant \frac{B}{A} \int_{0}^{B^{-1}} \frac{s^{p}}{1-s^{p-1}} ds = \overline{u}^{1-p}(0) \lambda^{p-1} \int_{0}^{\lambda^{1-p}} \frac{s^{p}}{1-s^{p-1}} ds.$$

Direct computation shows that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1^+} \left\{ -\ln(\lambda^{p-1} - 1) / \int_0^{\lambda^{1-p}} \frac{s^p}{1 - s^{p-1}} ds \right\} = p - 1.$$

And hence,

$$T_{\lambda} \geqslant O(-\ln(\lambda^{p-1}-1))$$
 as $\lambda \rightarrow 1^+$.

This inequality and (6) show that the result of (ii) holds.

The proof of our theorem is complete.

References

- Wang Ming-xin & Ding Xia-xi, Science in China (in Chinese) (Series A), 1992, (10): 1026.
- 2 Weissler, F. B., Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 1985, 38: 291.