

MATHEMATICS

Problem of invariant subspaces in C^* -algebras

ZHANG Lunchuan (张伦传)

School of Information Science, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100080, China
(email: zlc@math03.math.ac.cn)

Received January 1, 2001

Abstract Let A be a separable simple C^* -algebra. For each $a(\neq 0)$ in A , there exists a separable faithful and irreducible $*$ representation (π, H_π) on A such that $\pi(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in H_π .

Keywords: C^* -algebras, irreducible $*$ representation, invariant subspace.

Given a separable Hilbert space H , let $B(H)$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators on H , and $K(H)$ be the subset of all compact operators in $B(H)$. It is well known that $B(H)$ is a C^* -algebra, and $K(H)$ is its closed two-sided ideal. In the study of linear operator in $B(H)$, there is a famous open problem, that is, the invariant subspaces problem as below: Whether each bounded linear operator in $B(H)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace?

For some special cases, such as compact operators, normal operators, and subnormal operators, it is well known that they have non-trivial invariant subspaces respectively. But the general case has not been solved by now. Discussing the above invariant subspaces problem has two basic methods: one way is only to use single operator theory, another way is by the aid of operator algebras. The details of invariant subspace problem can refer the book by Beauzamy^[1].

In this paper we consider the invariant subspace problem in a separable simple C^* -algebra in the following:

Problem 1. Let A be a separable simple C^* -algebra, for each $a(\neq 0)$ in A , whether there exists a separable and faithful irreducible $*$ representation (π, H_π) on A such that $\pi(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in H_π or not?

In 1992 Lin^[2] showed that for finite separable matroid C^* -algebra, the above Problem 1 has a positive solution. But the general case has not been settled from then. In this paper we use C^* -algebra representation theory and hereditary C^* -subalgebras as main tools to answer Problem 1 positively.

1 Basic lemmas

First we recall the definitions of liminal and antiliminal C^* -algebras. We say that if a C^* -algebra A is liminal, for each irreducible representation (π, H_π) on A and each x in A , then $\pi(x)$ is a compact operator in $B(H_\pi)$. For example, $K(H)$ is a liminal C^* -algebra, and each commutative C^* -algebra is liminal. For convenience we introduce a new concept of liminal element as follows: Given a C^* -algebra A , and an element a in A , if for each irreducible representation (π, H_π) on A , it follows that $\pi(a)$ is a compact operator in $B(H_\pi)$, then a is said to be a liminal element in A . It is easy to check that if a simple C^* -algebra A contains a nonzero liminal element, then A is liminal itself. Contrary to the liminal C^* -algebras, if a C^* -algebra does not contain any nonzero liminal element, then it is called antiliminal. For instance, the Calkin algebra is an antiliminal C^* -algebra. We refer the reader to see the book " C^* -algebra" by Dixmier (ref. [3], Chapter 4).

Another useful concept is hereditary C^* -subalgebras. A C^* -subalgebra B of a C^* -algebra A is said to be hereditary if, for each a in A and b in B with the inequality $a < b$ implies that a in B . From ref. [4] Proposition 3.11.10 we see that the assignment $p \longrightarrow pA^{**}p \cap A$ establishes bijective correspondences between the classes of open projections in A^{**} and the closed hereditary C^* -subalgebras of A , and this relation is very useful in sec. 3.

Before proceeding to the lemmas we fix some notations and discuss some important background materials. Given a C^* -algebra A , we denote $S(A)$ the state space, $P(A)$ the set of all pure states on A , and $\overline{P(A)}^{w^*}$ the pure state space (it is the weak*-closure of $P(A)$ in the dual of A). For each ϕ in $S(A)$, letting $(\pi_\phi, H_\phi, \xi_\phi)$ denote the cyclic representation associated with ϕ , we form the Hilbert space $H_S = \oplus_{\phi \in S} H_\phi$ and the representation $\pi_S = \oplus_{\phi \in S} \pi_\phi$ on H_S . Since S is separating for A , so π_S is faithful, and the strong closure of $\pi_S(A)$ in $B(H_S)$ is called the enveloping Von Neumann algebra of C^* -algebra A . In fact, $A^{**} = A''$ (see ref. [4] Prop.3.7.8), and we have

Proposition 1. Let A be a C^* -algebra, A^{**} be its enveloping Von Neumann algebra, for each non-trivial irreducible $*$ representation (π, H_π) on A , then $\tilde{\pi}(A^{**}) = B(H_\pi)$, where $\tilde{\pi}$ is the σ -weakly continuous extension representation of π to A^{**} .

Proof. Since π is an irreducible $*$ representation on A , so $\pi(A)' = \mathbb{C}$ (see ref. [3], Prop. 2.3.1). Note that $A^{**} = A''$ (see ref. [4], 3.7.8), and by ref. [4] Theorem 3.7.7 it follows that $\tilde{\pi}(A^{**}) = \tilde{\pi}(A'') = \pi(A)'' = B(H_\pi)$. Q.E.D.

We require more information of extension and restriction of representation as below: Given a C^* -algebra A and its C^* -subalgebra B , by Dixmier's dilation theorem (see ref. [3] proposition 2.10.2) we see that each non-trivial irreducible $*$ representation (π_B, H_B) on B has an extension irreducible representation (π_A, H_A) on A . In general, H_A is strictly larger than H_B , but if B is a closed two-sided ideal of A , then $H_B = H_A$ (see ref. [3] Proposition 2.10.4).

The next proposition which characterizes the pure state space of prime antiliminal C^* -algebra is needed also:

Proposition 2. Given a prime antiliminal C^* -algebra A , if A is unital then $\overline{P(A)}^{w^*} = S(A)$; if A is not unital then $\overline{P(A)}^{w^*} = S(A) \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. Since A is prime, that is, there is a faithful irreducible $*$ representation on A , so if A is unital, it follows from ref. [3] Lemma 11.2.4 that $\overline{P(A)}^{w^*} = S(A)$; if A is not unital, letting $\tilde{A} = A \oplus 1C$, it is easy to check that $\tilde{A} = A \oplus 1C$ is a prime and antiliminal C^* -algebra also, by ref. [3] Lemma 11.2.4 again that $\overline{P(\tilde{A})}^{w^*} = S(\tilde{A})$. Next we will show that $\overline{P(\tilde{A})}^{w^*}|_A = \overline{P(A)}^{w^*} \cup \{0\}$. For this goal, let $f \in \overline{P(\tilde{A})}^{w^*}$, then f is a weak*-limit of pure states f_α of \tilde{A} . If $f|_A$ is nonzero, we can suppose that f_α are all nonzero, so they are pure states of A , hence $f|_A$ is in $\overline{P(A)}^{w^*}$ in this case, therefore $f|_A$ is in $\overline{P(A)}^{w^*} \cup \{0\}$ in all cases. Note that if A is not unital, then 0 is in $\overline{P(A)}^{w^*}$ (see ref. [3] 2.12.13), so $\overline{P(\tilde{A})}^{w^*}|_A = \overline{P(A)}^{w^*}$. On the other hand, we see that $S(\tilde{A})|_A = S(A) \cup \{0\}$, so by the above discussion it implies that $\overline{P(A)}^{w^*} = S(A) \cup \{0\}$ if A is not unital. Q.E.D.

Based on the above materials we state the lemmas as below:

Lemma 1. Let A be a unital separable simple C^* -algebra, for each $a(\neq 0)$ in A , then there is a separable faithful irreducible representation (π, H_π) on A such that $\pi(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in H_π .

Lemma 1 was proved by Lin (see ref. [2] Lemma 1.1).

Lemma 2. Given a separable simple C^* -algebra A , $a(\neq 0)$ is in A , if A_α is a simple C^* -

subalgebra of A , where A_a is the C^* -subalgebra generated by a in A , then there is a separable faithful irreducible $*$ representation (π, H_π) on A such that $\pi(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in $B(H_\pi)$.

Proof. First, if A is liminal, for each non-trivial irreducible $*$ representation (π, H_π) on A , by the definition of liminal C^* -algebra we see that $\pi(A) = K(H_\pi)$, that is, each element of $\pi(A)$ is a compact operator, therefore $\pi(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in H_π . In the following we suppose that A is antiliminal, and discuss it in two cases.

Case 1. If $A_a = A$, we can regard A_a as acting irreducibly on a separable Hilbert space H , so $A_a \subset B(H)$, and $A^{**} = B(H)$. Let $\phi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)/K(H)$ be the canonical homomorphism, by the assumption that A is antiliminal, so $\phi(a) \neq 0$. Note that the Calkin algebra $B(H)/K(H)$ is simple, it follows from ref. [5] Proposition 7 that there exists a separable simple C^* -subalgebra B containing $\phi(1)$ and $\phi(a)$ in $B(H)/K(H)$, using Lemma 1 that there is a separable faithful irreducible $*$ representation (π_B, H_B) on B such that $\pi_B(\phi(a))$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in H_B . Moreover, let $D = \phi^{-1}(B)$, so $D \subset B(H) = A^{**}$, and let $(\pi_D, H_D) = (\pi_B \circ \phi, H_B)$, so π_D is a non-trivial irreducible $*$ representation on D and $\pi_D(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in H_D . Since a is in D and A is generated by a , so $A \subset D$, and it follows that $A \subset D \subset A^{**}$. Put $(\pi, H_A) = (\pi_D|_A, H_D)$, noting that $A^{**} = A''$, then from $\pi_D(A)' \supseteq \pi_D(D)' \supseteq \pi_D(A)'$ and $\pi_D(D)' = 1C$, it implies that $\pi_D(A)' = 1C$, that is $\pi(A)' = 1C$, showing that $\pi(= \pi_D|_A)$ is an irreducible $*$ representation on A and $H_A = H_D = H_B$. By the above proof we show that $\pi(a) = \pi_D(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in H_π .

Case 2. Suppose that $A_a \neq A$. Since A_a is simple, for A_a we use the method of Case 1, so there is a non-trivial separable faithful irreducible $*$ representation (π_a, H_a) on A_a such that $\pi_a(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in H_a . By ref. [3] Proposition 2.10.2 we see that there exists a separable irreducible $*$ representation (π, H) on A such that $\pi|_{A_a} = \pi_a$ and $H_a \subset H$, so $\pi(a) = \pi_a(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in $H_a(\subset H)$, and since A is simple, so (π, H) is faithful. Q.E.D.

2 The main theorems and proofs

Theorem 1. Let A be a separable simple C^* -algebra, for each $a(\neq 0)$ in A , then there is a separable and faithful irreducible $*$ representation (π, H_π) on A such that $\pi(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in H_π .

Proof. First, if A is unital, by Lemma 1 the conclusion is correct. In special if A is a finite dimensional C^* -algebra, then A has a unit (see ref. [6] I. Lemma 11.1), and by Lemma 1 again it holds also. If A is a liminal simple C^* -algebra, then for each non-trivial irreducible $*$ representation (π, H_π) , it follows that $\pi(A) = K(H_\pi)$, showing that $\pi(a)$ is a non-zero compact operator. In the following we suppose that A is a non-unital and infinite dimensional antiliminal simple C^* -algebra, and we discuss it by two cases. Here we fix a notation A_a which stands for the C^* -subalgebra generated by a in A .

Case 1. If $A_a \neq A$ and A_a contains at least one non-trivial closed two-sided ideal B_a , then there is a separable irreducible $*$ representation (π_a, H_a) on A_a such that $\pi_a(B_a) = \{0\}$. By ref. [3] Proposition 2.10.2 there is a separable extension irreducible $*$ representation (π, H_π) on A such that $\pi|_{A_a} = \pi_a$. Since A is simple, so π is faithful and H_π is strictly larger than H_a (if not, then $H_\pi = H_a$, leading to that $\text{Ker } \pi \supseteq B_a \neq \{0\}$, where $\text{Ker } \pi$ is the kernel of π in A , and this is a contradiction with that A is simple), implying that $\pi(a)H_a = \pi_a(a)H_a \subseteq H_a \subset H_\pi$, showing that H_a is a non-trivial invariant subspace for $\pi(a)$ in H_π .

Case 2. If $A_a = A$, or $A_a(\neq A)$ is simple, this is Lemma 2, then we are done. Q.E.D.

It is well known that $B(H)$ is a prime C^* -algebra, that is, there is a faithful and irreducible

* representation on $B(H)$. In the final of this section we discuss the invariant subspace problem in general prime C^* -algebra and obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 2. Given a separable prime C^* -algebra A , $a(\neq 0)$ in A , if there is a non-trivial hereditary C^* -subalgebra B of A containing a , then there exists a separable irreducible * representation (π, H_π) on A such that $\pi(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in H_π .

Proof. We prove it by three cases.

Case 1. If B is liminal, it is similar to the proof of the above Theorem 1 and we are done.

Case 2. If B is antiliminal. Since A is prime and B is hereditary, it is easy to check that B is prime itself also, so by the above Proposition 2 that $S(B) \cup \{0\} = \overline{P(B)}^{w*}$, and by ref. [4] Proposition 3.11.10, there is a non-trivial open projection p in A^{**} such that $B = pA^{**}p \cap A$ and $B^{**} = pA^{**}p$, showing that p is the unit of B^{**} , so $pa = ap$. For each irreducible * representation (π, H_π) on B , it implies that $\pi(p) \neq 0$ (if not, this leads to that $\pi(B) = 0$, and this is a contradiction). Next we will show that there exists an irreducible * representation (π_B, H_B) on B such that $\overline{\pi_B}(p) < 1$, where $\overline{\pi_B}$ is the σ -weakly continuous extension representation of π_B to B^{**} . For this goal, it is only to prove that there is a pure state ρ on B such that $\overline{\rho}(p) < 1$, where $\overline{\rho}$ is the extension pure state on B^{**} associated with ρ . Contrary to it, assume that for each pure state ρ on B it has that $\overline{\rho}(p) = 1$. Let f be an any normal state on B^{**} , note that each normal state of B^{**} is the extension of one corresponding state on B , denoting g as the state on B associated with f , so $f|_B = g$, and following the equation $S(B) \cup \{0\} = \overline{P(B)}^{w*}$, it implies that there is a net of pure states h_α on B such that $g = \lim_\alpha h_\alpha$. Moreover, let h'_α be an extension of h_α to a pure state of B^{**} , therefore $f(p) = \lim_\alpha h'_\alpha(p)$ in the weak * topology. By the above assumption that $h'_\alpha(p) = 1$, so $f(p) = 1$, following it then $p = 1$, this is a contradiction with $p < 1$. Hence there is a pure state ρ on B such that $\overline{\rho}(p) < 1$, it is easy to prove that for the irreducible * representation (π_B, H_B) on B associated with the ρ , then $\overline{\pi_B}(p) < 1$, where $\overline{\pi_B}$ is the σ -weakly continuous extension representation of π_B to B^{**} associated with $\overline{\rho}$, so $\overline{\pi_B}(a)\overline{\pi_B}(p) = \overline{\pi_B}(p)\overline{\pi_B}(a)$. This equation shows that $\pi_B(a) = \overline{\pi_B}(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in H_B . By ref. [3] Proposition 2.10.2 there is a separable irreducible extension * representation (π, H_π) on A such that $\pi(a) = \pi_B(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in $H_B(\subset H_\pi)$.

Case 3. If B contains a non-trivial maximum liminal closed two-sided ideal J , so B/J is antiliminal, in this case, if a is in J , note that J is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of A and J is liminal, by Case 1 we are done; if a is not in J , let $\Phi : B \rightarrow B/J$ be the canonical quotient homomorphism, so $\Phi(a)$ is not zero in B/J . Since B is prime and separable, so J is a primitive ideal of B , and B/J is a prime C^* -algebra also, similar to the proof of Case 2 we can see that there is an irreducible * representation (π_1, H) on B/J such that $\pi_1(\Phi(a))$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in H . Set $\pi_B = \pi_1 \circ \Phi$, so (π_B, H) is an irreducible * representation on B . By ref. [3] Proposition 2.10.2 there is a separable irreducible extension * representation (π, H_A) on A such that $\pi(a) = \pi_B(a) = \pi_1 \circ \Phi(a)$ has a non-trivial invariant subspace in $H(\subset H_A)$. Q.E.D.

Acknowledgements Thanks to the referee's valuable suggestion and the Director Shi Yongchao of Editor Office of Acta Math. Sinica for his help in typing this paper. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10101026).

References

1. Beauzamy, B., Introduction to Operator Theory and Invariant Subspaces, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1988.
2. Lin Huaxin, Invariant subspace and eigenvalues of element in C^* -algebra, Chinese Ann., 1992, 38 (4): 422.
3. Dixmier, J., C^* -Algebras, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1977.
4. Pedersen, G. K., C^* -algebras and Their Automorphism Groups, London: Academic Press, 1979.
5. Batty, C. J. K., Irreducible representations of inseparable C^* -algebras, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 1984, 14(3): 721.
6. Takesaki, M., Theory of Operator Algebras I, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1979.